Interview Summary	10/590,499	SMITH, WARREN JOHN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul A. Zucker	1621	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Paul A. Zucker</u> .	(3) <u>Caron Brooke</u> .		
(2) <u>Leonard C. Mitchard</u> .	(4) <u>Mark Roberts</u> .		
Date of Interview: <u>11 September 2008</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☒ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	;]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>34,38 and 70</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Wegman.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants proposed adding a limitation requiring positive addition of water to the independent claims. The Examiner agreed to consider Applicants' written reply.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Paul A. Zucker/			

Application No.

Applicant(s)